NeuroTarget **Conference Abstracts**

Field H1 of Forel vs Subthalamic Nucleus Electrical Stimulation in Parkinson's Disease: Long-Term Effects on Motor Symptoms and Quality of Life

WSSFN 2025 Interim Meeting. Abstract 0020

Fabio Luiz Francheschi Godinho, Ricardo Iglesio, Kaito Laube, Juliana Rodrigues. University of São Paulo. Brasil

Autor para correspondencia: Juliana Rodrigues. Correo electrónico: juliana.passinho@hotmail.com

Cómo citar: Francheschi Godinho FL, Iglesio R, Laube K, Rodrigues J. Field H1 of Forel vs Subthalamic Nucleus Electrical Stimulation in Parkinson's Disease: Long-Term Effects on Motor Symptoms and Quality of Life: WSSFN 2025 Interim Meeting. Abstract 0020. NeuroTarget. 2025;19(2):7.

Abstract

Introduction: Deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the subthalamic nucleus (STN) relieves motor symptoms, including levodopa- responsive gait disorders in Parkinson's disease (PD). Traditionally, STN-DBS is not indicated to treat severe, clinically resistant axial symptoms. In this scenario, field H1 of Forel (FF) stimulation (FF-DBS) is likely a feasible option, given it improves motor symptoms, including freezing of gait (FOG), as shown by a short-term study. However, no data are available about the long-term effects of this therapy. Finally, no study has compared the long-term effects of FF and STN-DBS.

Method: We analyzed 22 patients (10 FF-DBS, 12 STN-DBS). Motor symptoms (MDS-UPDRS III), gait (FOG score), cognition (Mattis DRS), quality of life (PDQ-39), and levodopa equivalent daily dose were assessed. Outcomes between FF-DBS and STN-DBS were compared.

Results: The mean follow-up was 6.18 years (95% CI: 5.57-6.78). Compared with the preoperative period, patients with FF had an average reduction of 32.2% in the MDS-UPDRS III scores (p < 0.01), a decrease of 35.3% in the FOG scores (p < 0.01), and an improvement of 25.9% in the PDQ-39 (p < 0.01). There was a 7.5% decrease in cognition (p < 0.01). Levodopa equivalent dose (LED) was reduced by 26.3% (p < 0.01). The STN group had an average reduction of 39.4% in the MDS-UPDRS III scores (p < 0.01), a decrease of 23.7% in the FOG scores (p < 0.01), and an improvement of 33.2% in the PDQ-39 scores (p < 0.01). Cognition decreased by 1.6% (p < 0.01) and LED by 15.06% (p = 0.02). Patients with FF-DBS were older than those with STN-DBS at the time of surgery: 61.2 years and 55.7 years, respectively (p = 0.02), and had longer duration of disease (p = 0.02). Patients with FF-DBS had a greater reduction in FOG (p = 0.02) than did

the STN group and presented with a greater decrease in cognition (p < 0.01) after five years. STN-DBS had a greater effect on quality of life.

Discussion: Both FF-DBS and STN-DBS produced longterm motor improvements and enhanced quality of life in Parkinson's patients. While general motor benefits were similar, FF-DBS showed greater improvement in axial symptoms and FOG, but with a higher cognitive decline. FF-DBS also required lower energy, suggesting a potential economic advantage. Patient selection was key, with FF-DBS typically applied in more advanced cases. These findings support FF-DBS as an effective alternative for axial symptoms, but further randomized studies are needed.

Conclusions: Both FF-DBS and STN-DBS relieved motor symptoms and improved quality of life over a long-term period. Patients with FF-DBS had a higher reduction in both FOG and in LED than did those with STN-DBS. These data support our hypothesis that FF-DBS is a safe and efficient option for treating motor symptoms in PD, including FOG in advanced stages

References

- 1. Limousin P, Pollak P, Benazzouz A, et al. Effect of parkinsonian signs and symptoms of bilateral subthalamic nucleus stimulation. Lancet. 1995;345:91-95.
- 2. Lees AJ, Hardy J, Revesz T. Parkinson's disease. Lancet. 2009;373:2055-2066.
- Liu Y, Li W, Tan C, et al. Meta-analysis comparing deep brain stimulation of the globus pallidus and subthalamic nucleus to treat advanced Parkinson disease. J Neurosurg. 2014;121:709-718.